

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,741	04/03/2001	Arthur W. Zikorus	VNUS-57380	4515	
	7590 09/25/200 ⁰ ATTON LEE & UTEC	EXAMINER			
Tenth Floor			ROY, BAISAKHI		
6060 Center Dr Los Angeles, C	- · ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3737		
					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	. •		09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				\mathcal{C}		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/825,741	ZIKORUS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Baisakhi Roy	3737			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status			•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	June 2007.				
· · · · ·		nis action is non-final.				
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22,35-41,50-53 and 70-72 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22,35-41,50-53 and 70-72 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner. ्				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National	Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/07.		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 09/825,741

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 6/11/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 16-22, 35-38, 40, 41, 50-53, and 70-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Navarro et al. (6398777). Navarro et al. disclose a method of positioning a catheter proximate to a junction in a hollow anatomical structure such as the sapheno-femoral junction of a patient by introducing a catheter into the hollow anatomical structure (col. 4 lines 39-49) and identifying the junction based on feedback from the catheter with the use of light emitted from a fiber optic device and an attribute of the light changes upon reaching the junction (col. 4 lines 50-65). The method further involves applying energy to the hollow structure at the treatment site via an energy application device at the working end of the catheter so as

Application/Control Number: 09/825,741 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

to lead to a reduced diameter for the hollow structure or occlude the region of interest (col. 5 lines 7-39). The reference also teaches the step of introducing the catheter over a guide wire with a tip located at the distal end of the guide wire or located at the working end of the catheter wherein the guide wire traverses a lumen in the catheter and the tip is adapatable to engage the junction of the anatomical structure while the catheter travels over the guide wire to the junction where it "wedges" against the junction (col. 4 lines 39-43). The reference teaches that the identifying step may include an ultrasound signal sensed by the catheter (col. 5 lines 5-39). The tip of the guide wire is rounded to enable the operator to more easily control the amount of vein to be treated (col. 4 lines 59-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12-15 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Navarro et al. in view of Makower et al. (6190353). Navarro et al. do not teach the use of a radio frequency signal sensed by the catheter. In the same field of endeavor Makower et al. disclose a method and apparatus for minimizing arterial obstructions including catheter devices and systems to modify and/or close vascular passageways where a radio frequency signal is used as an identifying method and the signal is

Art Unit: 3737

sensed by the catheter (col. 24 lines 60-67, col. 25 lines 1-26). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching by Makower et al. to modify the teaching by Navarro et al. for the purpose of enhancing visualization of the anatomical structure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER